

Reviewer Report

| rirst Author Name: |
|--|
| Contribution Title: |
| A. Scientific Quality (please select the option) |
| 1. Contains significant contributions to the advancement of relevant subject. |
| 2. Sounds original and of interest. |
| 3. Does not add knowledge of relevant subject. |
| 4. Contains fundamental errors. |
| B. Recommendation (please select the option) |
| ☐ Acceptance. The manuscript can be accepted without changes. |
| ■ Minor revision. The content of the manuscript has potential to be accepted. Nevertheless, minor changes should be carried out before acceptance. |
| ☐ Major revision . The content of the manuscript has potential to be accepted. Nevertheless, substantial changes should be carried out before acceptance. |
| ☐ Rejection . The results presented in the manuscript are not sufficiently significant or they are incorrect. |
| C. Comments and suggestions (please provide your detailed comments to justify your decision) |
| Overall evaluation (in range 1-4): |
| Text: |
| D. Editorial remarks |
| Text: |
| Reviewer's Name |
| |
| Date and Reviewer's Signature |