## **Advances in Reliability, Safety and Security**

ESREL 2024 Collection of Extended Abstracts

## Information-Based Maintenance Policies For Items From Heterogeneous Populations

Ji Hwan Cha<sup>a</sup>, Maxim Finkelstein<sup>b</sup>, Na Young Yoo<sup>a</sup>, Hyunju Lee<sup>c</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Ewha Womans University, Seoul, South Korea <sup>b</sup>University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa <sup>c</sup>Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Yongin, South Korea* 

*Keywords*: preventive maintenance, heterogeneous populations, increasing failure rate, constant failure rate, expected long-run cost rate

Optimal preventive maintenance (PM) of technical items is one of the most important practical and theoretical problems in modern reliability engineering. The first mathematically formulated results describing the optimal PM strategy can be found in the seminal paper by Barlow and Hunter (1960). After that, thousands of papers and a number of surveys and books entirely devoted to this topic (Asadi et al., 2023; Gertsbakh, 2005; Nakagawa, 2005; Wang, 2002; Wang and Pham, 2006), to name a few; were published. PM is usually reasonable to execute for deteriorating items (e.g., with increasing failure rate). When deterioration processes in items are observable, this additional information on the items' states can be used in optimal preventive maintenance decisions (thus, condition-based). See, e.g., some relevant surveys on condition-based maintenance; e.g. see (Alaswad and Xiang, 2017; Jardine and Banevich, 2005; Keiser et al., 2017) among others.

Most real populations of technical items are heterogeneous. In reliability context, items with different quality may be manufactured due to, e.g., defective resources and components, human errors, 'random' production environment, etc. (Finkelstein and Cha, 2013; Jensen and Petersen, 1982). For modeling heterogeneous lifetimes, the distribution function of an item is often indexed by an unobserved random variable  $Z \ge 0$  that is called Badia et al., 2002; Vaupel et al., 1978). See also (Cha and Finkelstein, 2014) for some examples of frailty modeling in reliability analysis and (Finkelstein and Cha, 2013) for basics of stochastic description for heterogeneous populations. Thus, as subpopulations are ordered (see the next section for relevant definitions), the lifetime of an item from a population for replacement can be stochastically larger than the remaining lifetime of an operating item even without the assumption of increasing failure rate (e.g., for constant baseline failure rate!) This can be easily seen for the multiplicative mixing model (Finkelstein, 2008; Finkelstein and Cha, 2013) when the failure rate of a subpopulation is given by the product  $z\lambda$ . Indeed, when  $Z = z<sub>1</sub>$  for the operating item and  $Z = z_2$  for the replacement, whereas  $z_2 < z_1$ , the replacement item is 'better' than the initial one. However, a natural question arises: how can we compare these realizations in practice, as the frailty is unobserved!? The answer to this question lies in using some additional information in our modeling.

In our paper we will consider a generalization of the periodic PM modeling (Nakagawa, 2005; Cha and Finkelstein, 2018). An item is replaced periodically with period *T.* The optimal *T* is obtained as the value minimizing the corresponding expected long-run cost rate. Between replacements, the minimal repairs that do not change the failure rate of an operating item are performed. In our approach, the a'priori numbers of minimal repairs in this interval provide information on realization of frailty *Z*. The large number of minimal repairs indicates that the corresponding failure rate is relatively large and vice versa. The proposed procedure is sequential. On the first stage, the optimal *T* is obtained in a conventional way without considering the number of minimal repairs. On the second stage, two possible values of replacement times are considered, i.e.,  $T_1 < T_2$  and the number of minimal repairs at  $T_1$ . If the number of minimal repairs observed in operation is larger than a predetermined threshold value, the replacement is performed immediately at  $T_1$ , whereas if it is smaller, the replacement is performed at  $T_2$ . Then the optimal values are obtained, via considering the corresponding

optimization problem. In a similar manner, the next stage (involving  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  and the numbers of minimal repairs in  $[0, T_1)$  and  $[T_1, T_2)$ ) is defined, etc.

Thus, starting with the second stage, each stage, depending on the observed numbers of minimal repairs, can be considered as a 'multi-option' for a possible postponement of a replacement. Thus, e.g., on stage 3, the replacement is performed either at optimal  $T_1$  or postponed to  $T_2$  or to  $T_3 > T_2$ . From general considerations and it will be shown numerically that this procedure quickly converges and usually, in practice, it is sufficient to consider stage 2 or stage 3 for defining the optimal PM, as the inputs of the next stages, as compared with the previous ones are practically non-significant. A similar notion of information-based possible postponement of replacement was considered recently in (Finkelstein et al., 2023). However, information in this paper was in the form of the observed at inspections degradation of an item modeled by the Poisson or gamma processes, which dramatically differs from the heterogeneous setting of a current paper. On the other hand, periodic replacement policy for the discrete heterogeneous setting for two subpopulation (weak and strong) was also considered in (Cha, 2016). Note that our approach here is applied to continuous frailty case. Moreover, our reasoning, in contrast to (Cha, 2016), is based directly on the numbers of minimal repairs observed in relevant time intervals and on the subsequent comparison of stages.

## **Acknowledgements**

The work of the first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (Grant Number: 2019R1A6A1A11051177) and was also supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (No. 2023R1A2C1003238). The work of the fourth author was supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund of 2023 and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023- 00240817).

## **References**

Alaswad, S., Xiang, Y. 2017. A review on condition-based maintenance optimization models for stochastically deteriorating system. Reliab Eng Syst Saf 157, 54-63.

Asadi, M., Hashemi, M., Balakrishnan, N. 2023. An overview of some classical models and discussion of the signature-based models of preventive maintenance. Appl Stoch Model Bus Ind 39, 4-53.

Badia, F.G., Berrade, M.D., Campos, C.A. 2002. Optimal inspection and preventive maintenance of units with revealed and unrevealed failures. Reliab Eng Syst Saf 78:157-163.

Barlow, R.E., Hunter, L. 1960. Optimum preventive maintenance policies. Oper Res 8, 90-100.

Cha, J.H. 2016. Optimal replacement of heterogeneous items with minimal repairs. IEEE Trans Reliab 65, 593-603.

Cha, J.H., Finkelstein, M. 2014. Some notes on unobserved parameters (frailties) in reliability modeling. Reliab Eng Syst Saf 123, 99-103.

Cha, J.H., Finkelstein, M. 2018. Point processes for reliability analysis: Shocks and repairable systems. Springer.

Finkelstein, M. 2008. Failure Rate Modeling for Reliability and Risk. Springer.

Finkelstein, M., Cha, J.H. 2013. Stochastic modelling for reliability: Shocks, burn-in and heterogeneous populations. Springer.

Finkelstein, M., Cha, J.H., Langston, A. 2023. Improving classical optimal age-replacement policies for degrading items. Reliab Eng Syst Saf 236, 109303.

Gertsbakh, I. 2005. Reliability theory with applications to preventive maintenance. Springer.

Jardine, A.K.S., Lin, D., Banjevic, D. 2006. A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. Mech Syst Signal Process 20, 1483-1510.

- Jensen, F., Petersen, N.E. 1982. Burn-in. Wiley.
- Keizer, M.C.O., Flapper, S.D.P., Teunter, R.H. 2017. Condition-based maintenance policies for systems with multiple dependent components: A review. Eur J Oper Res 261, 405-420.
- Nakagawa, T. 2005. Maintenance theory of reliability. Springer.

Vaupel, J.W., Manton, K.G., Stallard, E. 1979. The impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality. Demography 16, 439-454.

Wang, H, Pham. H. 2006. Reliability and Optimal Maintenance. Springer.

Wang, H. 2002. A survey of maintenance policies of deteriorating systems. Eur J Oper Res 139, 469-489.